

ON THE AREA DISTANCE AND THE RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

D. PALLE*

We study an area distance in the Riemannian spacetime with expansion, vorticity and acceleration. It is shown that this observable depends on expansion, deceleration and acceleration parameters to third order in redshift, as well as on vorticity. Thus, the considerable acceleration or vorticity of the Universe can substantially influence the standard astronomical distance calibrators.

Cosmology – Riemannian geometry – Area distance.

In the standard cosmological model, one usually deals with the Robertson-Walker line element to describe various kinematical, dynamical or alchemical processes in the Universe. Although the observations refer to the high degree of homogeneity and isotropy of the Universe, current and future measurements would require theoretical models with a more general geometry of spacetime. A fundamental role in all kinds of astronomical observation plays area, luminosity, angular diameter or comoving distances. In this paper we choose an area distance to investigate its dependence on the parameters of the Riemannian geometry.

We start with the definition of the area(corrected luminosity) distance due to Kristian and Sachs [1] :

$$r^2 = \frac{I_z}{I_0} \left(\frac{f_0}{f_z} \right)^4, \quad (1)$$

*Zavod za teorijsku fiziku, Institut Rugjer Bošković, P.O.Box 1016, Zagreb, Croatia

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= \text{corrected luminosity distance,} \\
I_{(0;z)} &= (\text{measured; intrinsic}) \text{ intensity,} \\
f_{(0;z)} &= (\text{measured; intrinsic}) \text{ frequency,} \\
D^2 &= (1+z)^4 r^2, \\
D &= \text{luminosity distance.}
\end{aligned}$$

For the quotient of intrinsic and measured frequencies, the following relation is valid:

$$\frac{f_z}{f_0} = \frac{(u_\mu k^\mu)_z}{(u_\mu k^\mu)_0}, \quad (2)$$

u^μ = four - velocity vector, k^μ = vector tangent to geodesics.

Expanding various quantities with respect to the affine parameter, Kristian and Sachs derived the formula that relates the corrected luminosity(area) distance and redshift [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f_z}{f_0} &= 1 - (u_{\mu;\nu})_0 e^\mu e^\nu r - \frac{1}{2} (u_{\mu;\nu;\kappa})_0 e^\mu e^\nu e^\kappa r^2 \\
&- \left(\frac{1}{6} (u_{\mu;\nu;\kappa;\rho})_0 e^\mu e^\nu e^\kappa e^\rho + \frac{1}{12} (u_{\mu;\nu})_0 e^\mu e^\nu (R_{\kappa\rho})_0 e^\kappa e^\rho \right) r^3 + \dots \quad (3) \\
\frac{f_z}{f_0} &\equiv 1 + z, \quad u_{\mu;\nu} \equiv \partial_\nu u_\mu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa u_\kappa, \\
e^\mu &\equiv -(k^\mu / u_\nu k^\nu)_0, \quad R_{\mu\nu} = \text{Ricci tensor.}
\end{aligned}$$

We use this formula with the coefficients that are averaged over the directions of tangents to geodesics

$$\begin{aligned}
z &= -\langle (u_{\mu;\nu})_0 e^\mu e^\nu \rangle r - \frac{1}{2} \langle (u_{\mu;\nu;\kappa})_0 e^\mu e^\nu e^\kappa \rangle r^2 \\
&- \left\langle \frac{1}{6} (u_{\mu;\nu;\kappa;\rho})_0 e^\mu e^\nu e^\kappa e^\rho + \frac{1}{12} (u_{\mu;\nu})_0 e^\mu e^\nu (R_{\kappa\rho})_0 e^\kappa e^\rho \right\rangle r^3 + \dots \quad (4) \\
\langle F(\theta, \phi) \rangle &\equiv \frac{1}{4\pi} \int F(\theta, \phi) d\Omega, \\
u^\mu &= (1, 0, 0, 0), \quad k^\mu = (1, \sin\theta \cos\phi, \sin\theta \sin\phi, \cos\theta), \\
k^\mu &= h^\mu_{\hat{\nu}} k^{\hat{\nu}}, \quad h^\mu_{\hat{\nu}} = \text{vierbein.}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now choose the shearless metric with nonvanishing expansion, vorticity and acceleration [2]:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - 2\sqrt{l}R(t)e^{mx}dtdy - R^2(t)(dx^2 + be^{2mx}dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (5)$$

b, l, m are constant parameters.

The standard form of the covariant derivative of the four-velocity vector looks like [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mu;\nu} &= \frac{1}{3}\Theta h_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\mu\nu} + \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \dot{u}_\mu u_\nu, \\ \dot{u}_\mu &\equiv u^\nu u_{\mu;\nu}, \quad \Theta \equiv u^\nu_{;\nu}, \quad h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu, \\ \omega_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \frac{1}{2}(u_{\rho;\kappa} - u_{\kappa;\rho})h^\rho_\mu h^\kappa_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with the following observables for the chosen metric:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &\equiv \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu})^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0, \quad \omega \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{\mu\nu}\omega^{\mu\nu})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{m}{2R(t)}\left(\frac{l}{b+l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \Theta &= 3\frac{\dot{R}}{R} = 3H, \quad \dot{u}^\nu \dot{u}_\nu = -\Sigma H^2, \quad \Sigma \equiv \frac{l}{b+l}. \end{aligned}$$

Performing derivatives, summations and angle averaging we get the coefficients of Eq.(4). Inverting Eq.(4) one can immediately find an area distance as power series in redshift:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 r &= z - \frac{1}{2}(3 + q_0)\left(1 + \frac{\Sigma}{3}\right)z^2 + \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{\Sigma}{3}\right)^2(3 + q_0)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{6}(16 + c_0 + 11q_0)(1 + \Sigma) + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{9}\frac{1}{\Sigma}\right)\frac{\omega_0^2}{H_0^2}\right]z^3 + \dots \quad (7) \\ q_0 &\equiv -\left(\frac{\ddot{R}R}{\dot{R}^2}\right)_0, \quad c_0 \equiv \left(\frac{\ddot{R}R^2}{\dot{R}^3}\right)_0. \end{aligned}$$

From Eq.(7) one can see that besides the dependence of the corrected luminosity distance on the Hubble parameter H_0 , parameter c_0 and the deceleration parameter q_0 , there is also the dependence of r on the acceleration parameter Σ and the vorticity ω_0 .

Thus, considerable acceleration or vorticity of the Universe could drastically affect astronomical distance calibrations and consequently change our conclusions on further correlated cosmological observables. Our knowledge of the acceleration parameter is rather poor, but we now mention the possibilities how to extract some information.

Assume that a relation between a Hubble's expansion and a vorticity is valid, as it is derived in the Einstein-Cartan gravity [4] (this is the only theoretical consideration that gives a small and nonvanishing cosmological constant):

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_0| &\simeq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Sigma H_0, \\ \rho_0 &\simeq \frac{3}{4\pi G_N} H_0^2, \quad \Lambda \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \rho_0. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, the polarization measurements [2] or the apparent periodicity of large-scale structures [5] gives the estimate of the vorticity $\frac{\omega_0}{H_0} = \mathcal{O}(1)$, thus suggesting a large acceleration parameter $\Sigma = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Some different analyses give much smaller vorticity [6] and consequently smaller $\Sigma = \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$, if the relation (8) is valid.

One should also notice bounds put on space-rotations [7] in cosmological models with vanishing vorticity [8] to avoid any confusion with our choice of spacetime.

We see that the present knowledge of the parameters of the Riemannian cosmology is far from being resolved. Furthermore, the Einstein field equations in the perfect fluid model with the expansion and acceleration give $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and $q_0 = -1$. The introduction of vorticity into field equations requires the introduction of a cosmic magnetic field into the matter part of the equations.

To conclude, we stress that besides the ongoing astronomical measurements of the large-scale structure of the Universe, such as the Supernovae redshift projects, SDSS, 2dF survey, QSO redshift surveys, etc, cosmologists have to perform calculations on the most general cosmological models in order to do an overall fit of large data-sets.

* * *

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Croatia under Contract No. 00980103.

References

- [1] Kristian, J., and Sachs, R. K. (1966). *Astrophys. J.* **143**, 379;
MacCallum, M. A. H., and Ellis, G. F. R. (1970) *Commun. Math. Phys.* **19**, 31.
- [2] Korotky, V. A., and Obukhov, Yu. N. (1991). *Ž. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **99**, 22.
- [3] Ehlers, J. (1961). *Abh. Mainz Akad. Wiss. Lit. (Math. Nat. Kl.)* **11**, 1.
- [4] Palle, D. (1996). *Nuovo Cimento* **B111**, 671;
Palle, D. (1998). *Los Alamos E-Print Archive* **astro-ph/9811408**.
- [5] Korotky, V. A., and Obukhov, Yu. N. (1994). *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **26**, 429.
- [6] Birch, P. (1982). *Nature* **298**, 451;
Li, L.-X. (1998). *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **30**, 497.
- [7] Barrow, J., Juskiewicz, R., and Sonoda, D. (1985). *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **213**, 917.
- [8] Ellis, G. F. R., and MacCallum, M. A. H. (1969) *Commun. Math. Phys.* **12**, 108.